

Absorption spectra of ternary complexes of rare earths

S.P. Tandon, M.P. Bhutra and Anup K. Gupta
Department of Physics,
University of Jodhpur,
Jodhpur, India

ABSTRACT

The absorption spectra of ternary complexes of Pr^{3+} and Nd^{3+} in different environments i.e. water, methanol, formamide and DMSO (dimethyl-sulfoxide) have been recorded in the visible region, using methionine as primary ligand and propane -1,2-diol as secondary ligand. The energies and intensities of various transitions calculated using the Judd-Ofelt relations are in good agreement with those obtained experimentally. This study reveals that the complexes are covalent in nature.

RESUMEN

El espectro de absorción de complejos ternarios Pr^{3+} y Nd^{3+} en diferentes solventes, esto es agua, metanol, formamida y DMSO (dimetil-sulfóxido) han sido registrados en la región visible, usando metionina como ligante primario y propano -1,2-diol como ligante secundario. Las energías e intensidades de varias transiciones calculadas usando las relaciones de Judd-Ofelt concuerdan bastante bien con las obtenidas experimentalmente. Este estudio revela que los complejos son de naturaleza covalente.

INTRODUCTION

A great deal of spectroscopic work has been done on the rare earth complexes with oxygen donor ligands in last decade¹⁻¹⁰ as an important lasing material due to their narrow line width and weak crystal field interaction. The energy levels of Nd^{3+} ion in different lattice sites have been reported in the literature¹¹⁻¹⁵. The present communication reports the study of various energy and intensity interaction parameter from the absorption spectra of complexes of Nd^{3+} and Pr^{3+} in different environments.

The values of spectral parameters like Slater-Condon (F_k), Lande (ζ_{4f}), Oscillator strength (P), Judd-Ofelt (T_i), Nephelauxetic ratio (β) and Bonding ($b^{1/2}$) which give useful information re-

garding interelectronic repulsion and spin - orbit interaction in the complexes, have been computed by using partial regression method.

EXPERIMENTAL

The complexes were prepared by the method reported earlier by author¹⁶. Complexes synthesized were NdM(P)_2 and PrM(P)_2 , where M stands for methionine and P stands for propane-1,2-diol and subscript 2 shows the number of moles used. The spectra have been recorded in the region 360-920 nm on Carl-Zeiss VSU-2 spectrophotometer in different solvents i.e. water, methanol, formamide and DMSO. The records were obtained between optical density and wave length.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

(a) ENERGY LEVELS : The energy levels of various transitions were evaluated theoretically¹⁷ by using the relation

$$E_j(F_k, \zeta_{4f}) = E_{0j}(F_k^0, \zeta_{4f}^0) + \sum_{k=2,4,6} \left(\frac{\partial E_j}{\partial F_k} \right) \Delta F_k +$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial E_j}{\partial \zeta_{4f}} \right) \Delta \zeta_{4f} \quad \dots (1)$$

The observed and calculated values of energy levels using the above relation for the complexes of Nd^{3+} and Pr^{3+} in different solvents have been collected in Tables 1-2. Small r.m.s. deviations between theoretical and experimental values supports the validity of relation used in case of Nd^{3+} complex while high r.m.s. deviation for Pr^{3+} complex is due to the assumption of 4f - wave functions to be hydrogenic. The red shift of the energy levels from that of free ion in different solvents supports the complexation. The hypersensitive transition (${}^4G_{5/2} \leftarrow {}^4I_{9/2}$) in Nd^{3+}

complex and pseudohypersensitive transition ($^3P_2 \leftarrow ^4H_3$) in Pr^{3+} complex show red shift as the solvents are changed in the order DMSO > Formamide > Methanol > water. This may be attributed due to some participation of the oxygen atom orbitals of solvent with those of metals at different distances in solution.

(b) INTENSITY PARAMETERS : As we know that $P_{\text{exp}} = 4.6 \times 10^{-9} \times \epsilon \times \Delta\nu_{1/2} \dots (2)$ where ϵ and $\Delta\nu_{1/2}$ are the molecular extinction coefficient and band width for each transition respectively. The value of T_λ ($\lambda = 2, 4, 6$) parameters have been computed from Judd-Ofelt relation by using partial multiple and regression method. The values of reduced matrix elements have been taken from Carnall et al.¹⁸

The experimentally observed values of oscillator strength for Nd^{3+} complexes alongwith their calculated values usign the relation (2) have been

collected in Tables 3 and 4 respectively.

The calculated values of intensity parameters T_2, T_4, T_6 have been collected in Tables 5 and 6. The value of the parameter T_2 which is sensitive to the environmental changes is found to be increased as the solvents are changed in the order of water-methanol-formamide-DMSO. The negative value of T_2 parameter has no physical significance.

It is observed that the ratio of (T_4/T_6) for a metal is fairly constant in all the four solvents. It is ~ 0.25 in case of praseodymium while ~ 0.80 in neodymium. This is due to the fact that co-ordinating environment around the metal-ion remains unaltered. Thus the ratio of (T_4/T_6) increases as the atomic number of metal ion increases. Oscillator strength for the hypersensitive transitions increases as the solvents are changed in the order of water < methanol < formamide < DMSO, which indicate that the coordinating affinity of the metal is largest for DMSO and smallest for water.

REFERENCES

- 1) S.P. VASIREDDI and U. RAMCHANDRA RAO, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 39, 311 (1977).
- 2) P.P. VAISHNAVA and S.P. TANDON, Indian J. Phys. 51B, 404 (1977).
- 3) S.S.L. SURANA, R.C.MATHUR and S.P.TANDON, Spectry. Lett. 11, 389 (1978).
- 4) C.A. MORRISON and R.P. LEAVIT, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 2366 (1979).
- 5) R.P. LEAVITT and C.A. MORRISON, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 749 (1980).
- 6) J.G. CONWAY, J.C. KRUPO, P. DELAMOYE and M. GENET, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 849 (1981).
- 7) G.K. JOSHI, M.P. BHUTRA, S.N. MISRA, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 43, 525 (1981).
- 8) G.L. EPSTEIN and J. READER, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 72, 476 (1982).
- 9) S.N. MISRA, G.K.JOSHI and M.P. BHUTRA, Indian J. Chem. 21A, 275 (1982).
- 10) S.U.J. LAKSHMAN AND S. BUDDHUDU, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiant. Trans. 27, (1982) (in press).
- 11) E.NIEBOER, Struct. Bonding 22, 1 (1975).
- 12) R.D. PEACOCK, Struct. Bonding 22, 83 (1975).
- 13) D.E. HENRIE, Coord. Chem. 18, 199 (1976).
- 14) T.R. FAULKNER and F.S. RICHARDSON, Molec. Phys. 38, 1165 (1979).
- 15) B.R. JUDD, Physica Scripta 21, 543 (1980).
- 16) M.P. BHUTRA and ANUP K. GUPTA, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Phys. (1982) (in press).
- 17) E.Y. WONG, J. Chem. Phys. 38, 976 (1963).
- 18) W.T. CARNALL, P.R. FIELDS and B.G. WYBOURNE, J. Chem. Phys., 42, 3797 (1965).

Recibido el 10 de febrero de 1983

Table 1. Observed and calculated values of energy levels (in cm^{-1}) for $\text{Mn}(\text{P})_2$ complex in different environments.

Levels	water		methanol		formamide		DMSO	
	Obs.	Cal.	Obs.	Cal.	Obs.	Cal.	Obs.	Cal.
$^2\text{P}_{1/2}$	23148	23148	23148	23148	23148	23148	23098	23127
$^4\text{G}_{11/2}$	21692	21574	21692	21576	21645	21563	21588	21515
$^4\text{F}_{9/2}$	21186	21175	21142	21139	21142	21140	21097	21104
$^2\text{G}_{9/2}$	19608	19693	19658	19582	19608	19570	19589	19551
$^4\text{D}_{7/2}$	19231	19243	19231	19226	19231	19213	19184	19170
$^4\text{F}_{5/2}$	17301	17308	17301	17315	17271	17290	17281	17256
$^4\text{F}_{7/2}$	14708	14749	14708	14743	14708	14741	14684	14712
$^4\text{F}_{9/2}$	13369	13321	13369	13326	13369	13318	13331	13287
$^4\text{F}_{5/2}$	12392	12499	11392	11393	12392	12491	12361	12484
$^4\text{F}_{3/2}$	11521	11465	11521	11468	11507	11473	11494	11482
r.m.s. dev.	56.16	55.99			52.35		52.09	

Table 2. Observed and calculated values of energy levels (in cm^{-1}) for $\text{Pr}(\text{P})_2$ complex in different environments.

Levels	water		methanol		formamide		DMSO	
	Obs.	Cal.	Obs.	Cal.	Obs.	Cal.	Obs.	Cal.
$^3\text{P}_2$	22548	22446	22548	22436	22523	22411	22472	22379
$^3\text{P}_1$	21368	21315	21345	21302	21322	21279	21299	21245
$^3\text{P}_0$	20612	20645	20790	20625	20765	20725	20771	
$^1\text{D}_2$	16949	17149	16938	17138	16930	17121	16902	17093
r.m.s. dev.	118.51		119.19		118.57		116.20	

Table 3. Observed and calculated values of oscillator strength for $\text{Mn}(\text{P})_2$ complex in different environments.

Levels	water		methanol		formamide		DMSO	
	Obs. $\times 10^{-6}$	Cal. $\times 10^{-6}$						
$^2\text{P}_{1/2}$	1.18	0.68	1.30	0.89	1.42	0.73	1.54	0.70
$^4\text{G}_{11/2}$	1.18	0.22	1.26	0.27	1.25	0.18	1.44	0.28
$^4\text{F}_{9/2}$	0.89	1.91	0.98	1.99	1.08	2.11	1.18	2.10
$^2\text{G}_{9/2}$	1.53	0.60	1.70	0.55	1.70	0.56	1.87	0.56
$^4\text{D}_{7/2}$	4.08	4.26	4.41	4.42	4.74	4.91	5.03	4.90
$^4\text{F}_{5/2}$	18.26	10.53	18.47	19.09	24.00	24.30	24.00	24.86
$^4\text{F}_{7/2}$	0.38	0.69	0.43	0.74	0.43	0.77	0.47	0.89
$^4\text{F}_{9/2}$	8.21	8.70	8.53	8.16	8.46	8.41	8.00	8.53
$^4\text{F}_{5/2}$	7.33	6.96	7.88	7.33	8.16	8.35	7.76	
$^4\text{F}_{3/2}$	2.21	2.72	2.10	2.70	2.93	2.18	2.56	
r.m.s. dev.	0.68×10^{-6}		8.71×10^{-6}		0.73×10^{-6}		0.77×10^{-6}	

Table 4. Observed and calculated values of oscillator strength for $\text{Pr}(\text{P})_2$ complex in different environments.

Levels	water		methanol		formamide		DMSO	
	Obs. $\times 10^{-6}$	Cal. $\times 10^{-6}$						
$^3\text{P}_2$	16.82	16.82	17.70	17.70	20.92	20.92	23.90	23.90
$^3\text{P}_1$	4.84	3.88	6.33	4.70	8.77	7.80	7.66	6.73
$^3\text{P}_0$	2.79	2.63	2.86	4.63	6.11	7.39	8.70	6.53
$^1\text{D}_2$	1.27	1.27	1.39	1.39	3.39	3.39	2.53	2.83
r.m.s. dev.	0.60×10^{-6}		1.92×10^{-6}		0.90×10^{-6}		0	0.86×10^{-6}

Table 5. Computed values of energy and intensity parameters for $\text{Mn}(\text{P})_2$ complex in different environments.

Parameters	Solvents			
	water	methanol	formamide	DMSO
τ_2	329.847	330.727	330.617	330.487
τ_4	51.337	50.587	50.527	50.777
τ_6	5.213	5.227	5.245	5.265
ϵ_{ef}	862.56	860.71	864.94	862.00
μ	0.999	0.999	0.998	0.997
$b^{1/2}$	0.0224	0.0224	0.0316	0.0367
$\tau_2 \times 10^{10}$	7.58	6.58	11.33	11.83
$\tau_4 \times 10^{10}$	0.65	0.11	0.61	0.25
$\tau_6 \times 10^{10}$	9.50	10.03	10.41	10.85
τ_4/τ_6	0.5667	0.8085	0.8171	0.7004

Table 6. Computed values of energy and intensity parameters for $\text{Pr}(\text{P})_2$ complex in different environments.

Parameters	Solvents			
	water	methanol	formamide	DMSO
τ_2	312.37	311.96	311.75	311.14
τ_4	43.12	43.07	43.04	43.95
τ_6	4.73	4.714	4.711	4.701
ϵ_{ef}	660.92	660.96	667.49	668.21
μ	0.970	0.969	0.968	0.966
$b^{1/2}$	0.1210	0.1254	0.1267	0.1304
$\tau_2 \times 10^9$	-62.30	-61.32	-61.39	-64.87
$\tau_4 \times 10^9$	1.01	1.29	2.06	1.88
$\tau_6 \times 10^9$	0.24	0.48	0.30	0.36
τ_4/τ_6	0.19	0.24	0.33	0.26