

R. K. Sharma

Department of Mathematics
 Adeyemi College of Education
 University of Ife
 Ondo
 Nigeria.

Another Watson's Theorem For Double Series

(Recibido el 18 de Enero, 1978)

RESUMEN

El objeto de este trabajo es demostrar la siguiente fórmula de suma:

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} p; & 2\lambda_1+1, \lambda_1; & 2\lambda_2+1, \lambda_2; & 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(p+2\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+3); & 2\lambda_1; & 2\lambda_2; \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\frac{1}{2}p)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\lambda_1+\lambda_2-\frac{1}{2}p)}$$

$$R(p) < 1, R(\lambda_1) > 0 \text{ y } R(\lambda_2) > 0$$

SUMMARY

The object of the present paper is to prove the following summation formula:

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} p; & 2\lambda_1+1, \lambda_1; & 2\lambda_2+1, \lambda_2; & 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(p+2\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+3); & 2\lambda_1; & 2\lambda_2; \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\frac{1}{2}p)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\lambda_1+\lambda_2-\frac{1}{2}p)}$$

$$R(p) < 1, R(\lambda_1) > 0 \text{ y } R(\lambda_2) > 0.$$

1. INTRODUCTION:- Recently Sharma [1] has proved the summation theorem

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, p; & y_1; & y_2; & 1, 1 \\ 2\alpha, \frac{1}{2}(p+y_1+y_2+1); & -; & -; \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}p+\frac{1}{2}y_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}p) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}y_1+\frac{1}{2}y_2)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}p-\frac{1}{2}y_1-\frac{1}{2}y_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}p+\alpha) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}y_1-\frac{1}{2}y_2+\alpha)} \quad (1)$$

valid for $R(2^\alpha - p - y_1 - y_2 + 1) > 0$

(1) is a Watson theorem for hypergeometric series of two variables. In case $y_1=0$ or $y_2=0$ in (1), it reduces to Professor Watson's theorem (see [4; p.54 (2.3.3.13)]).

The object of this paper is to prove another Watson's theorem for hypergeometric series of two variables. Professor Carlitz [3] has proved a Saalschützian theorem for double series. The following notation due to Burchall and Chaundy [2] has been used to represent the hypergeometric series of higher order and of two variables.

(2)

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p); (b_q); (c_r); x, y \\ (d_s); (e_n); (f_k); \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_p)]_{m+n} [(b_q)]_m [(c_r)]_n x^m y^n}{[(d_s)]_{m+n} [(e_n)]_n [(f_k)]_m; m; n;}$$

(2)

where (a) and (a) m-n Will mean the sequence

a_1, \dots, a_p and the product $(a_1)_{m+n} \dots (a_p)_{m+n}$ respectively.

In the investigation we use the formulae due to Gauss (see [4, p.28 (1.7.6.)])

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} n, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} n; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; 1 \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{2^n (\alpha)_n}{(2\alpha)_n}$$

(3)

valid for $R(\alpha) > 0$ and n is a positive integer, Sharma [1, p.96, equ. (5)]

$$F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} p; y_1, y_2; \frac{1}{2}(p+y_1+y_2+1); \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} p + \frac{1}{2} y_1 + \frac{1}{2} y_2) \\ \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} y_1 + \frac{1}{2} y_2) \end{matrix} \right]$$

(4)

and Appell and Kampe de Fariet [5, p.22, equ. (4)]

$$F_1 [\alpha; \beta, \gamma; \delta; 1, 1] = \frac{\Gamma(8-\alpha-\beta-\gamma)\Gamma(8)}{\Gamma(8-\alpha)\Gamma(8-\beta-\gamma)}$$

(5)

valid for $R(8-\alpha-\beta-\gamma) > 0$.

2. The summation formula to be proved is

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} p; 2\lambda_1+1, \lambda_1; 2\lambda_2+1, \lambda_2; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(p+2\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+3); 2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_2 \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2} p)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2} p)}$$

provided that $R(p) < 1$, $R(\lambda_1) > 0$ and $R(\lambda_2) > 0$

Proof: To prove (6), we start with the left side of (6).

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} p; 2\lambda_1+1, \lambda_1; 2\lambda_2+1, \lambda_2; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(p+2\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+3); 2\lambda_1; 2\lambda_2 \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p)_{m+n} (2\lambda_1+1)_m (\lambda_1)_m (2\lambda_2+1)_n (\lambda_2)_n}{(\frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2})_{m+n} (2\lambda_1)_{m+n} (2\lambda_2)_{m+n}; m; n;}$$

by (2)

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p)_{m+n} (2\lambda_1+1)_m (2\lambda_2+1)_n}{(\frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2})_{m+n} m! n! 2^{m+n}}$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\frac{1}{2} m} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2} m)_p (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} m)_p}{(\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2})_p p!} \sum_{q=0}^{\frac{1}{2} n} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2} n)_q (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} n)_q}{(\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2})_q q!}$$

by (3)

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p)_{m+n} (2\lambda_1+1)_m (2\lambda_2+1)_n}{(\frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2})_{m+n} 2^{m+n}}$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\frac{1}{2} m} \frac{1}{(m-2p)! p! (\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2})_p 2^{2p}} \sum_{q=0}^{\frac{1}{2} n} \frac{1}{(n-2q)! q! (\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2})_q 2^{2q}}$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p)_{2p+2q} (2\lambda_1+1)_{2p} (2\lambda_2+1)_{2q}}{(\frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2})_{2p+2q} (\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2})_p (\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2})_q p! q! 2^{4p+4q}}$$

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} p+2p+2q; 2\lambda_1+1+2p; 2\lambda_2+1+2q; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2} + 2p; + 2q; -; - \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}$$

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} p; \lambda_1+1; \lambda_2+1; 1, 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2; -; - \end{matrix} \right]$$

by (4)

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} p) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} p + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}$$

by (5)

This completes the proof of (6).

REFERENCES

1. Sharma, B.L., "A Watsons' Theorem for Double Series", J. London Math. Soc., Ser. 2, Vol. 13, 1976, pp. 95-96.
2. Burchinal, J.L. and Chaundy, T.W., "Expansions of Appells' Double Hyper geometric Function", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, Ser 11, 1940, pp. 249-270.
3. Carlitz, L., "A Saalschützian Theorem for Double Series", J. London Math. Soc. Vol. 38, 1963, pp. 415-418.
4. Slater, L.J., *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1966.
5. Appell, P. y Kampé de Fériet, M.J., *Fonctions Hypergeometriques et Hyperheriques, Polynomes d'Hermite*, Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1926.